## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 BRUSSE 06644 231545Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 EA-07 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-03 INR-07 NSAE-00 EPG-02 SP-02 STR-04 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01 FEA-01 ACDA-07 IO-13 L-03 NSC-05 NRC-05 OES-07 DODE-00 SS-15 /113 W

-----059786 232346Z/20

R 231532Z JUN 77

FM AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0463
INFO ERDA WASHDC
ERDA GERMANTOWN
ALL EC CAPITALS
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA
AMEMBASSY VIENNA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE BRUSSELS 06644

USEEC

VIENNA FOR IAEA E.O. 11652:N/A

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

TAGS: TECH, IAEA, AS, EEC, ENRG

SUBJ: AUSTRALIA-EC DISCUSSIONS ON NUCLEAR SUPPLIES

REF: BRUSSELS 6537

- 1. AUSTRALIAN NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS TEAM FURNISHED BRIEF ORAL REPORT TO MISSION ON RESULTS OF MEETING WITH COMMISSION ON 21-22 JUNE. IN GENERAL, THEY CONCLUDED THAT THE EC DID NOT UNCOVER ANY "INTRACTABLE" PROBLEMS WITH THE AUSTRALIAN SAFEGUARDS PROVISIONS OUTLINED TO THEM BY THE TEAM.
- 2. THE MOST CONTENTIOUS PROBLEM IS THE AUSTRALIAN DESIRE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BRUSSE 06644 231545Z

FOR PRIOR CONSENT TO ANY REPROCESSING. THE TEAM REP SAID THAT IT IS POSSIBLE THAN AN AGREEMENT COULD BE WORKED OUT THAT WOULD DEFER SETTLING THIS PROBLEM UNTIL AFTER COMPLETION OF INFCEP. HE NOTED THAT THE QUESTION OF REPROCESSING IS NOT AN URGENTLY PRESSING PROBLEM FOR AUSTRALIA SINCE URANIUM WOULD NOT BE COMING INTO THE EC UNTIL 1981 OR 1982 AND REPROCESSING OF IT

## WOULD NOT OCCUR FOR SOMETIME AFTER THAT.

- 3. ANOTHER POTENTIAL PROBLEM IDENTIFIED BY EC WAS THE AUSTRALIAN DESIRE FOR PRIOR CONSENT FOR ENRICHMENT TO GREATER THAN 20 PERCENT. THE TEAM REP FELT THAT THIS WOULD NOT BE A DIFFICULT NEGOTIATING PROBLEM, THAT THE AUSTRALIAN POSITION COULD BE FLEXIBLE ENOUGH TO PERMIT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE USE OF A PRE-APPROVED LIST OF THOSE INSTANCES WHERE EC NEEDS TO ENRICH BEYOND 20 PERCENT. SUCH A LIST COULD BE UPDATED PERIODICALLY.
- 4. WHEN ASKED BY ERDA REP WHETHER AUSTRALIAN DESIRE FOR PRIOR APPROVAL OF INTRA-COMMUNITY TRANSFERS DID NOT POSE A PROBLEM, THE TEAM REP SAID THAT PRIME MINISTER FRASER'S POLICY STATEMENT WAS CAREFULLY WORDED TO COVER "TRANSFER TO THIRD PARTIES." HE SAID THAT PRESENT GOVERNMENT ATTITUDE WAS TO CONSIDER THE EC (EURATOM) AS THE PARTY WITH WHOM THEY WOULD NEGOTIATE AND THAT INTRA-EC MOVEMENTS WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO AUSTRALIAN APPROVAL. HE CAUTIONED, HOWEVER, THAT THIS POLICY WAS CONTINGENT ON AUSTRALIA BEING SATISFIED WITH THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MATERIAL ACCOUNTABILITY (SAFEGUARDS) CONTROLS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.
- 5. ON PHYSICAL SECURITY, THE COMMISSION ACKNOWLEDGED TO THE TEAM THAT THIS WAS AN AREA OF NATIONAL INTEREST, LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 BRUSSE 06644 231545Z

ALTHOUGH THERE ARE SOME MOVES UNDERWAY TO ADOPT SOME COMM EC POLICY ON PHYSICAL SECURITY. THE TEAM REP SAID THAT IT WAS MOST LIKELY THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD NEGOTIAT BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WITH EC MEMBER COUNTRIES ON THIS ISSUE. HE SAID FURTHER THAT THE SUBJECT OF RETRANSFER OUTSIDE OF EURATOM MIGHT ALSO BE INCLUDED IN BILATERALS (IN ADDITION TO BEING IN THE EURATOM AGREEMENT).

6. THE TEAM ASKED THE COMMISSION ABOUT PROGRESS IN FINALIZING THE EURATOM-IAEA VERIFICATION AGREEMENT. THEY WERE TOLD OF DIRECTOR-GENERAL WILLIAMS' PENDING VISIT TO VIENNA, BUT THAT THE COMMISSION DID NOT EXPECT THIS MEETING TO RESULT IN RESOLUTION OF ALL THE DIFFICULTIES. THE TEAM REP EXPRESSED CONCERN TO MISSION OVER WHAT HE FELT WAS A LACK OF SENSE OF URGENCY ON PART OF COMMISSION TO GET THE PROBLEM RESOLVED. HE SAID THAT HE TOLD THE COMMISSION REPS THAT HIS GOVERNMENT FULLY SUPPORTED THE IAEA, INCLUDING ITS NEEDS FOR INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION, AND HOPED THAT THE PRESENT PROBLEMS WOULD BE QUICKLY RESOLVED.MORRIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: SUPPLIES, NUCLEAR ENERGY, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 23-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BRUSSE06644
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** 

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770225-0592

Format: TEL From: BRUSSELS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770628/aaaaayag.tel

Line Count: 114 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 235e6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 77 BRUSSELS 6537

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 17-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2060416 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: AUSTRALIA-EC DISCUSSIONS ON NUCLEAR SUPPLIES

TAGS: TECH, ENRG, AS, IAEA, EEC

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/235e6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009